No.



9300018

THE CATCHERD STRAILES OF AMIERICAL

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME;

Aorthrop Ring Co.
Whereas, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT PARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OF ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF Eighteen YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, IN IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT BETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT

SOYBEAN

'S46-44'

In Esstimony Extrereot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this 31st day of October in

this 31st day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ninety-sour.

Attest:

Kennett % Evans

Plant Variety Protection Office

Secretary of Agriculture

NAMED DATA SERVICIO DE LA COMPANSIONE DE LA COMPANSION DE LA COMPANSION DE LA COMPANSION DE LA COMPANSION DE L

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, in cluding suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Office, OIRM, Room 404-W, Washington, D.C. 20250; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB #0581-0055), Washington, 20250.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF A AGRICULTURAL MARKET	ING SERVICE Y PROTECTION	I CERTIFICATE	Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is held confidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).
(Instructions on i	reverse)		3. VARIETY NAME
1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate)		2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR EXPERIMENTAL NO.	3. VARIETT NAME
Northrup King Co.	· .	J616544	S46-44
4. ADDRESS (street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and ZIP)		5. PHONE (include area code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
P. O. Box 949			PVPO NUMBER
Washington, Iowa 52353-0949	•	319-653-2181	9300018
Attention: John C. Thorne			
	en e		F Date
6 GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	7. FAMILY NAME (Botania	-an	Nov. 12, 1992
Glycine max	· Leguminosae		N 9:27 MAM. □P.M.
8 CROP KIND NAME (Common Name)		DATE OF DETERMINATION	F Filing and Examination Fee:
S CHOP KIND MARIE (Collision Reine)			E \$ 2150. €
Soybean		lovember, 1986	S Date
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF ORGAN	NZATION (Corporation, part	nership, association, etc.)	R Nov. 10, 1992
Corporation		en e	C Certificate Fee:
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION	12. 04	TE OF INCORPORATION	= \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{250.00}
Delaware		1976	5 Sept. 30 1994
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO	SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION	ON AND RECEIVE ALL PAPERS	
Dr. John C. Thorne Northrup King Co. P. O. Box 949 Washington, Iowa 52353-0949	ow INSTRUCTIONS on rever		ode): 612-593-7305
a. X Exhibit A. Origin and Breeding History of the Variety.			
b. X Exhibit B. Novelty Statement.			
c. X Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety			
d Exhibit D. Additional Description of Variety			
e. X Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownersh	•		
Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds). Date Seed			
g. X Filing and Examination Fee (\$2,150) made payable to "T			O Was add a Blood Various
15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SO Protection Act.)			200 Section oxer or the Light Aguera
YES (If "YES." answer items 16 and 17 be		IO," skip to item 18 below) O ITEM 16, WHICH CLASSES OF PROD	DUCTION BEYOND BREEDER SEED?
NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?	10 117.11 125 1	_	
YES X NO	FOI	INDATION REGIS	STERED CERTIFIED
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THE VA	RIETY IN THE U.S.?		
	Palent Act. Give da		
YES (II "YES." through Plant Variety Protection Act	Palent Act. Give da	ie	
19 HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR SALE, OR N	LA OMETER IN THE CO.	OTHER COUNTRIES?	
	IARKETED IN THE U.S. UH	OTHER COUNTRIES:	
YES (If "YES." give names of countries and dates) X NO			
20 The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic se	eds of this variety wil	l be furnished with the applica	tion and will be replenished upon
request in accordance with such regulations as may be appl The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this	sexually reproduced	novel plant variety, and belie	ve(s) that the variety is distinct,
uniform, and stable as required in section 41, and is entitle Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation her	d to protection under t	he provisions of section 42 of th	e Plant Variety Protection Act.
	CAPACITY OR		DATE
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))			
John / Marie	Soule	an Research Di	11/2/92
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (OWNER(S))	CAPACITY OR	TITLE	DATE
	1		

EXHIBIT A

Origin and Breeding History of the Variety

The soybean variety 'S46-44' is derived from the cross 'A5474' x 'A3127'. The cross was made in the summer of 1983 by the Northrup King Co. soybean research staff at St. Joseph, IL. The F1 and F2 generations were grown at the Northrup King Co. Research Center at Waimea, Kauai, Hawaii during the winter of 1983-84. generation was grown at St. Joseph in the summer of 1984; the F4 and F5 at Waimea during the winter of 1984-85, and the F6 at St. Joseph in the summer of 1985. The F2, F4, and F5 generations were advanced by harvesting 2-4 seeds from each plant and planting a 600 seed sample from the bulk. Mass selection was practiced in the F3 and F6 generations for Group IV or earlier maturity. In the fall of 1985 approximately 100 early F6 plants were harvested and threshed individually. The progeny from these plants were grown in F7 progeny rows in the summer of 1986. One of these, numbered J616544, was selected on the basis of agronomic appearance to be tested in a preliminary yield trial in 1987. This line was subsequently tested under the temporary designation X9146 and named It has been tested at several midwestern and midsouth U.S. locations from 1988 to 1991 and found to yield well compared to other Maturity Group IV cultivars. Descriptive traits including purple flowers, tawny pubescence, brown pods, and black hilum have been identified and confirmed. S46-44 has been tested for reaction to Races 1, 3, 4, and 7 of Phytophthora megasperma using hypocotyl inoculation of greenhouse grown plants and found to have the Rps 1-C gene for resistance. It has been tested in fields known to be infested with soybean cyst nematode (Heterodera glycines) near Conesville, IA and St. Joseph, IL since 1988 and has tested resistant. In addition, it was tested in the greenhouse at the resistant. Northrup King Research Center at Bay, AR, for resistance to Races 3 and 14 (formerly Race 4) and found to be resistant to both races. This resistance was verified by tests conducted by Dr. Lawrence Young, USDA nematologist at Jackson, TN.

In the winter of 1988-89, 300 seeds of S46-44 were planted at Waimea. At harvest, 100 plants were harvested and threshed individually and their progeny planted at St. Joseph in the summer of 1989 to monitor variability and to produce Pedigree Seed. A few plants with white flowers or grey pubescence were removed. These plants were assumed to have come from admixture or out-crossing. Uniform rows were bulked to produce Pedigree Seed. This seed was planted in 1990 to produce Breeder Seed. The increase block was rogued carefully during flowering and at maturity.

Foundation Seed of S46-44 was produced in 1991. The Iowa Crop Improvement Association inspected the field and found it to meet the standards for Foundation Seed. The National Soybean Variety Review Board approved the variety for Certification on December 12, 1991.

S46-44 is a stable and uniform variety except for minor environmentally induced variation encountered in any soybean variety. In five years of testing and three years of seed increase, no other variants have been observed. Any off-type plants which were removed from increase fields were assumed to have arisen from admixture or outcrossing.

Varietal purity will be maintained using progeny rows as described above as needed.

EXHIBIT B

Novelty Statement for the Variety

Soybean variety S46-44 is most similar to Fayette and TN4-86. It can be differentiated from these varieties on the basis of pod color. S46-44 has brown pods while Fayette and TN4-86 have tan pods.

EXHIBIT C (Soybean)

Page 1 of 4

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
LIVESTOCK, MEAT, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION
PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE
BELTSVILLE, MARY LAND 20705

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY SOYBEAN (Glycine max L.)

	TEMPORARY DESIGNATION	VARIETY NAME
NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	J616544, X9146	
Northrup King Co.		S46-44 -
ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Coo	e)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY PVPO NUMBER
P. O. Box 949		
Washington, Iowa 52353-0949 Attention: John C. Thorne		9300018
Lish sharesprings the var	riety in the features described	below. When the number of significant digits
in your answer is fewer than the number of boxes provided,	place a zero in the first box w	then number is 9 or less (e.g., 0 9).
1. SEED SHAPE:		
	1 1	
t = Spherical (L/W, L/T, and T/W ratios = < 1.2)	2 = Spherical Flattened	(L/W ratio > 1.2; L/T ratio = < 1.2) (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W > 1.2)
3 = Elongate (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W = < 1.2)	# = Eldtigate Flatteried	
2. SEED COAT COLOR: (Mature Seed)		
1 1 = Yellow 2 = Green 3 = Brown	4 = Black 5 = Other	(Specify)
1 1 = Yellow 2 = Green 3 = Brown		
3. SEED COAT LUSTER: (Mature Hand Shelled Seed)		
1 = Dull ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton') 2 = Shiny ('Neb:	soy'; 'Gasoy 17')	
4. SEED SIZE: (Mature Seed)		
1 6 Grams per 100 seeds		
5. HILUM COLOR: (Mature Seed)		
2-8	4 = Gray 5 = Imperfect Bi	lack 5 = Black 7 = Other (Specify)
6 1 = Buff 2 = Yellow 3 = Brown	Tar Glay	
6. COTYLEDON COLOR: (Mature Seed)		
1 = Yellow 2 = Green		
7. SEED PROTEIN PEROXIDASE ACTIVITY:		
2 1 = Low 2 = High	·	
8. SEED PROTEIN ELECTROPHORETIC BAND:	• /	
1 = Type A (SP1 ^a) 2 = Type B (SP1 ^b)		
9. HYPOCOTYL COLOR:		
	ith bronze band below cotyledons	('Woodworth'; 'Tracy')
3 = 1 ight Purple below cotyledons ('Beeson'; 'Pickett 71	")	
4 = Dark Purple extending to unifoliate leaves ('Hodgson	'; 'Coker Hampton 266A')	
10. LEAFLET SHAPE:		
	e 4 = Other (Specify)	
3 1 = Lanceolate 2 = Oval 3 = Ovat	-	_5

FORM LMGS-470-57 (2-82)

11. LEAFL	ET SIZE:			930001
2	1 = Small ('Amsoy 71'; 'A5312') 3 = Large ('Crawford'; 'Tracy')	2 = Medium ('Corsoy 79'; 'Gasoy 17'	")	7300010
12. LEAF	COLOR:			
2	1 = Light Green ('Weber'; 'York') 3 = Dark Green ('Gnome'; 'Tracy')	2 * Medium Green ('Corsoy 79'; 'Bra	axton')	
13. FLOWE	R COLOR:			
2	1 = White 2 = Purple	3 = White with purple throat		٠.
14. POD CO	DLOR:			
2	1 = Tan 2 = Brown	3 = Black		
15. PLANT	PUBESCENCE COLOR:			
2	1 = Gray 2 = Brown (Tawny)			
16. PLANT	TYPES:			
2	1 = Slender ('Essex'; 'Amsoy 71') 3 = Bushy ('Gnome'; 'Govan')	2 = Intermediate ('Amcor'; 'Braxton		
17. PLANT	HABIT:			
3	1 = Determinate ('Gnome'; 'Braxton') 3 = Indeterminate ('Nebsoy'; 'Improved Pel	2 = Semi-Determinate ('Will') ican')		
18. MATU	RITY GROUP:			
7	1 = 000 2 = 00 3 = 0 9 = VI 10 = VII 11 = VIII	4 = I 5 = II 6 = III 12 = IX 13 = X	7 = IV 8 = V	
19. DISEA	SE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = S	Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)		
BACT	ERIAL DISEASES:			
	Bacterial Pustule (Xanthomonas phaseoli va	ır. sojensis)		
1	Bacterial Blight (Pseudomonas glycinea)			
2	Wildfire (Pseudomonas tabaci)			
FUNGA	AL DISEASES:			
1	Brown Spot (Septoria glycines)			
	Frogeye Leaf Spot (Cercospora sojina)			
	Race 1 Race 2 Ra	ace 3 Race 4 Race	5 1 Other (Specify) Unspeci	f i ed
	Target Spot (Corynespora cassiicola)			
	Downy Mildew (Peronospora trifoliorum va	r. manshurica)		
	Powdery Mildew (Microsphaera diffusa)			
2	Brown Stem Rot (Cephalosporium gregatum	Moderately Resistant		
2	Stem Canker (Diaporthe phaseolorum var. c	aulivoral Moderately Resist	ant	

19. DISEAS	E REACTION:	(Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1	= Susceptible; 2	= Resistant) (Continued)			930001
FUNC	GAL DISEASES:	(Continued)	•	•			
1	Pod and Stem 8	llight <i>(Diaporthe phaseol</i> e	orum var; sojae)		•		
1	Purple Seed Sta	iń (Cercospora kikuchii)					
\Box	Rhizoctonia Ro	ot Rot <i>(Rhizoctonia sola</i>	ni)		•	•	
:	Phytophthora F	ot (Phytophthora megas	perma var. sojae)				
2	Race 1	2 Race 2 2.	Race 3	Race 4	Race 5	Race 6	2 Race 7
	Race 8	Race 9	Other (Specify)				•
VIRA	L DISEASES:						-
	Bud Blight (Tob	acco Ringspot Virus)					
	Yellow Mosaic (Bean Yellow Mosaic Viru	us)				
	Cowpea Mosaic	(Cowpea Chlorotic Virus	;) _.		•		
	Pod Mottle (Bea	in Pod Mottle Virus)					
一		ybean Mosaic Virus)					
NEM	ATODE DISEASI						
112111		ematode <i>(Heterodera gly</i>	voingel				
			Race 3	Race 4	Other (Seesify	, Resistan	t to old Race 4,
	Race 1	Race 2	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	nace 4	Juliel (Specify	now gene	rally reterred to
		e (Hopiolaimus Colombu				as Race	14.
	Southern Root	Knot Nematode <i>(Meloido</i>	ogyne incognita)				
	Northern Root I	Knot Nematode (Meloido	ogyne Hapla)				
./	Peanut Root Kn	ot Nematode (Meloidogy	/ne arenaria)				
	Reniform Nema	tode (Rotylenchulus reni	iformis)		* .		
	OTHER DISEA	SE NOT ON FORM (Spe	cify):		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>
				<u> </u>			
20. PHYSIO		ONSES: (Enter 0 = Not	Tested; 1 = Susc	eptible; 2 = Resistant)			
	Iron Chlorosis o	n Calcareous Soil					
	Other (Specify)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
21. INSECT	REACTION: (E	nter 0 = Not Tested; 1 =	Susceptible; 2 =	Resistant)			
	Mexican Bean B	eetle (Epilachna varivesti	s)				
	Potato Leaf Hor	oper (Empoasca fabae)					
	Other (Specify)						·
22. INDICA	TE WHICH VAR	IETY MOST CLOSELY	RESEMBLES TH	AT SUBMITTED.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ACTER	NAME OF V		CHARACTER		NAME	OF VARIETY
Plant Sha		Fayette	-	Seed Coat Luster		A3127	
Ľeaf Sha	`	A3935	 	Seed Size		A3935	
Leaf Cole	or	S42-30		Seed Shape		A3127	
Leaf Size	: '	s42-50		Seedling Pigment	ation	s36-3	36
							4
FORM LMG	S-470-57 (2-82)						Page 3 of 4

23. GIVE DATA FOR SUBMITTED AND SIMILAR STANDARD VARIETY: Paired Comparison Data

VARIETY	NO. OF DAYS MATURITY	PLANT LODGING SCORE	CM PLANT HEIGHT	LEAFLET SIZE		SEED CONTENT		SEED SIZE G/100 -	NO. SEEDS/
				CM Width	CM Length	% Protein	% Oil	SEEDS	POD
Submitted	140	2.3	103	6.7	11.5	39.8	22.0	16.1	2-4
ayette Name of Similar Variety	134	2.7	101	7.1	11.2	40.8	22.0	17.3	2-4

PUBLICATIONS USEFUL AS REFERENCE AIDS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM:

- 1. Caldwell, B.E., ed. 1973. Soybeans: Improvement, Production, and Uses. Amer. Soc. Agron. Monograph No. 16.
- 2. Buttery, B.R. and R.I. Buzzell. 1968. Peroxidase activity in seeds of soybean varieties. Crop Sci., 8: 722-725.
- 3. Hymowitz, T. 1973. Electrophoretic analysis of SBTI-A₂ in the USDA soybean germplasm collection. Crop Sci., 13: 420-421.
- 4. Payne, R.C. and L.F. Morris. 1976. Differentiation of soybean cultivars by seedling pigmentation patterns. J. Seed Technol. 1: 1-19.

EXHIBIT E

Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership

Soybean variety S46-44 was developed from germplasm sources cited in Exhibit A of this application. Northrup King Co. believes that the variety is novel as defined in the Plant Variety Protection Act and, therefore, that Northrup King Co. is the sole owner of the variety.